

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/768,323	01/24/2001	David Meiri	07072-127001	3938
26161 7590 12/19/2006 FISH & RICHARDSON PC		EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1022			BURGESS, BARBARA N	
MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55440-1022		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2157	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	ONTHS	12/19/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/768,323	MEIRI, DAVID				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Barbara N. Burgess	2157				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tid d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed  the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20	September 2006.	·				
	is action is non-final.					
<i>,</i> —						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		,				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	—					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner	•				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to th		i e				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		, , , , ,				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	ority documents have been receiv	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	st of the certified copies not receiv	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar	y (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	- а.e.п. Аррисацоп				

Art Unit: 2157

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office Action is in response to amendment filed September 20, 2006. Claims 1-9 are presented for further examination.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mondrosch et al. (hereinafter "Mond", US Patent 5,379,031) in view of Tugenberg et al. (hereinafter "Tug", US Patent 5,335,281).

As per claim 1, Mond discloses a method for posting a message on a message list accessible to a plurality of processors, said method comprising:

- Selecting a new-message slot (column 5, lines 24-26, 31-38);
- Placing said message in said new-message slot (column 5, lines 33-34, 61-64).
   Mond does not explicitly disclose:
- Modifying said new-message slot to specify an intended recipient of said message, said intended recipient being selected from said plurality of processors.

Art Unit: 2157

However, in an analogous art, Tug discloses a received message having first, second, third, fourth, and fifth fields containing identification information. This information is used by other processors to determine whether a particular processor should accept or discard the message (column 4, lines 15-20, 34-46).

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to implement or incorporate Tug's modifying said new-message slot to specify an intended recipient in Mond's method in order for receiving stations to decide whether to accept or discard a received message.

As per claim 2, Mond discloses the method of claim 1 further comprising inserting said new-message slot into said message list, said message list including a first existing-message slot having a pointer to a second existing-message slot (column 4, lines 20-24, 46-50).

As per claim 3, Mond further discloses the method of claim 2 wherein inserting said new-message slot into said message list comprises setting a first pointer on said new-message slot to point to said first existing-message slot and a second pointer on said new-message slot to point to said second existing message-slot (column 5, lines 40-49).

As per claim 4, Mond discloses the method of claim 3 wherein inserting said new-message slot into said message list further comprises setting said pointer

Art Unit: 2157

associated with said first existing-message slot to point to said new-message slot (column 6, lines 60-67)

As per claim 5, Mond does not explicitly disclose the method of claim 1wherein modifying said new-message slot to specify an intended recipient comprises modifying a destination mask associated with said new-message slot, said destination mask including information specifying all intended recipients of said message.

However, in an analogous art, Tug discloses a received message having first, second, third, fourth, and fifth fields containing identification information. This information is used by other processors to determine whether a particular processor should accept or discard the message (column 4, lines 15-20, 34-46).

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to implement or incorporate Tug's modifying said new-message slot to specify an intended recipient in Mond's method in order for receiving stations to decide whether to accept or discard a received message.

As per claim 6, Mond does not explicitly disclose the method of claim 5 wherein modifying said destination mask comprises

Selecting, from a plurality of constituent data-elements of said destination mask,
 each of said constituent data-elements corresponding to one of said processors from
 said plurality of processors, a selected data-element corresponding to a selected
 processor;

Art Unit: 2157

 Modifying said selected data-element to indicate that said selected processor is an intended recipient.

However, in an analogous art, Tug discloses a received message having first, second, third, fourth, and fifth fields containing identification information. This information is used by other processors to determine whether a particular processor should accept or discard the message (column 4, lines 15-20, 34-46).

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to implement or incorporate Tug's modifying said new-message slot to specify an intended recipient in Mond's method in order for receiving stations to decide whether to accept or discard a received message.

As per claim 7, Mond discloses the method of claim 1 further comprising updating a message directory to indicate the presence of said new-message slot in said message list, said message directory being accessible to said plurality of processors (column 6, lines 49-55).

As per claim 8, Mond does not explicitly disclose the method of claim 7 wherein updating said message directory comprises updating an attention mask containing information indicative of which processors from said plurality of processors are intended recipients of messages contained in said message list.

However, in an analogous art, Tug discloses a received message having first, second, third, fourth, and fifth fields containing identification information. This information is

Art Unit: 2157

used by other processors to determine whether a particular processor should accept or discard the message (column 4, lines 15-20, 34-46).

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to implement or incorporate Tug's modifying said new-message slot to specify an intended recipient in Mond's method in order for receiving stations to decide whether to accept or discard a received message.

As per claim 9, Mond discloses the method of claim 7 wherein updating said attention mask comprises:

Selecting from a plurality of constituent data-elements of said attention
mask, each of said constituent data-elements corresponding to one of said
processors from said plurality of processors, a selected data-element corresponding
to a selected processor (column 4, lines 25-30).

Mond does not explicitly disclose:

Modifying said selected data-element to indicate existence of a new message for
which said selected processor is an intended recipient (column 4, lines 28-33).
 However, in an analogous art, Tug discloses a received message having first, second,
third, fourth, and fifth fields containing identification information. This information is
used by other processors to determine whether a particular processor should accept or
discard the message (column 4, lines 15-20, 34-46).

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to implement or incorporate Tug's modifying said new-

Art Unit: 2157

message slot to specify an intended recipient in Mond's method in order for receiving stations to decide whether to accept or discard a received message.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's argument has been considered but is moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Barbara N. Burgess whose telephone number is (571) 272-3996. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00am-4:00pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Ettinene can be reached on (571) 272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Barbara N Burgess

Examiner

Art Unit 2157

December 9, 2006

ARIO/ETIENNE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER